1	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California Jaime R. Román, Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice			
2				
3 4	300 S. Spring St., 10th Floor-North Los Angeles CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2581			
5	Attorneys for Complainant			
6	BEFORE THE			
7	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA BOARD OF PODIATRIC MEDICINE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
8				
9				
10	In the Matter of the Accusation ) NO. D-4921 Against:			
11	) OAH No. L-59075 DAVID PATRICK DEVLIN, D.P.M. )			
12	711 N. Alvarado, Suite 107 ) STIPULATED SETTLEMENT Los Angeles, California 90026 ) AND			
13	) DISCIPLINARY ORDER Podiatric Physician )			
14	Certificate No. E-358,			
15	Respondent. )			
16	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to			
17	the above-entitled proceedings that the following matters are			
18	true:			
19	PARTIES			
20	1. The Complainant, James Rathlesberger, is the			
21	Executive Officer of the Board of Podiatric Medicine of the State			
22				
23	of California (hereinafter referred to as "the Board"), and he			
24	brings this action solely in his official capacity. The			
25	Complainant is represented by Attorney General Daniel E. Lungren,			
26	by and through Deputy Attorney General Jaime René Román, and the			
27	Respondent, David Patrick Devlin, D.P.M., is represented by			
	Theodore A. Cohen, Esq.			

2. On or about July 11, 1989, the Board issued License No. E-358 to Respondent David Patrick Devlin, D.P.M. At all times herein mentioned said license has been in full force and effect.

## JURISDICTION

- 3. Respondent David Patrick Devlin, D.P.M. understands that Accusation No. D-4921 (a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth) has been filed and served against him, and Amended & Supplemental Accusation No. D-4921 (a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "B" and incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth herein) together charging him with violations of sections 490, 2052, 2234, 2236, 2237, 2238, 2239, 2242, 2261 and 2472 of the California Business & Professions Code.
- 4. Respondent David Patrick Devlin, D.P.M. understands that, but for this Stipulation, he would be entitled to a hearing on the charges in the Accusation and the Amended & Supplemental Accusation herein filed, to confront and cross-examine witnesses against him, to present his own evidence, to a written Decision following the hearing, to Petition for Reconsideration of any unsatisfactory Decision, to appeal any adverse Decision to the courts, and to any and all other rights pursuant to the Administrative Procedures Act and the California Code of Civil Procedure.
- 5. Respondent David Patrick Devlin, D.P.M. understands that should the Board of Podiatric Medicine, for any reason, fail

to approve this Stipulation, it shall be of no force or effect as to either party.

#### WAIVER

6. Respondent David Patrick Devlin, D.P.M. has been provided sufficient time to fully discuss the Accusation and the Amended & Supplemental Accusation and this Stipulation with counsel of his own choosing, to wit, Theodore A. Cohen, Esq., and has freely, voluntarily, knowingly and intelligently waived each and every right set out in Paragraph 4 herein.

#### CULPABILITY

- 7. Respondent David Patrick Devlin, D.P.M. admits each of the allegations contained in the Accusation and the Amended & Supplemental Accusation attached hereto as Exhibits "A" and "B", respectively, and incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth.
- 8. Respondent David Patrick Devlin, D.P.M. admits that his Podiatric Physician Certificate is subject to discipline pursuant to the sections of the California Business and Professions Code provided in paragraph 3 herein.

#### ORDER

9. Based on the foregoing admissions, stipulations, and recitals, it is agreed that Podiatric Physician Certificate No. E-358 issued to Respondent David Patrick Devlin, D.P.M., be revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent David Patrick Devlin, D.P.M. is placed on probation for five years upon the following terms and conditions:

#### A. SUSPENSION

As part of probation, respondent is suspended from the practice of podiatric medicine for 30 days beginning the effective date of this Decision.

B. CONTROLLED DRUGS - PARTIAL RESTRICTION

Respondent shall not prescribe, administer,

dispense, order of possess any controlled substances as defined by the California Uniform Controlled

Substances Act, except for those drugs listed in Schedules III, IV, and V of the Act. However, respondent is permitted to prescribe, administer, dispense or order controlled substances listed in

Schedule II of the Act for inpatients in a hospital

#### C. DRUGS ABSTENTION

setting, and not otherwise.

Respondent shall abstain completely from the personal use or possession of controlled substances as defined in the California Uniform Controlled Substances Act, and dangerous drugs as defined by section 4211 of the Business & Professions Code, or any drugs requiring a prescription. Orders forbidding respondent from personal use or possession of controlled substances or dangerous drugs do not apply to medications lawfully prescribed to respondent for a bona fide illness or condition by another practitioner.

# D. MAINTAIN CONTROLLED DRUGS RECORD

Respondent shall maintain a record of all controlled substances or drugs prescribed, dispensed or administered by respondent during probation. The record shall show the following:

- (1) the name and address of the patient,
- (2) the date,
- (3) the character and quantity of controlled substances or drugs involved, and
- (4) the pathology and purpose for which the controlled substance was furnished.

Respondent shall keep this record in a separate file or ledger in chronological order, and shall make it available for inspection and copying by the Board or its designee, upon request.

#### E. BIOLOGICAL FLUID TESTING

Respondent shall immediately submit to biological fluid testing, at his expense, upon the request of the Board or its designee.

#### F. REHABILITATION PROGRAM

Within 30 days of the effective date of this

Decision, respondent shall enroll and participate in
the Board's Diversion Program until the Board or its
designee determines that further treatment and
rehabilitation is no longer necessary. Quitting the
program without permission or being expelled for
cause shall constitute a violation of probation by

respondent.

#### G. COMMUNITY SERVICE

Within 60 days of the effective date of this

Decision, respondent shall submit to the Board for

its prior approval a community service program in

which he shall provide free medical services on a

regular basis to a community or charitable facility

or agency for at least twenty hours a month for the

first 24 months of probation. Neither respondent,

nor respondent's practice, nor any partner,

associate or employee of respondent shall benefit

financially from such a community service program.

#### H. ETHICS

Within 60 days of the effective date of this
Decision, respondent shall submit to the Board for
its prior approval a course in Ethics, which
respondent shall successfully complete during the
first year of probation.

## I. RECOVERY OF COSTS

The respondent shall pay to the Board the amount of \$6,000.00 on or before five years from the effective date of this Decision for recovery of the actual and reasonable costs of the investigation and prosecution of this matter as provided for in section 2497.5 of the Business & Professions Code.

#### J. OBEY ALL LAWS

Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local

laws, and all rules governing the practice of podiatric medicine in California.

# K. QUARTERLY REPORTS

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations, under penalty of perjury, on forms provided by the Board, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

Notwithstanding any provision for tolling of requirements of probation, during the cessation of

quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury.

practice respondent shall continue to submit

#### L. SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM

Respondent shall comply with the Board's probation surveillance program.

M. INTERVIEW WITH PODIATRIC MEDICAL CONSULTANT
Respondent shall appear in person for interviews
with the Board's medical consultant, upon request,
at various intervals and with reasonable notice.

# N. TOLLING FOR CESSATION OF PRACTICE

In the event the respondent fails to satisfactorily complete any provision of the order of probation, which results in the cessation of practice, all other provisions of probation other than the submission of quarterly reports shall be held in abeyance until respondent is permitted to resume the practice of podiatry. All provisions of probation shall recommence on the effective date of

resumption of practice. Periods of cessation of practice will not apply to the reduction of the probationary period.

O. TOLLING FOR OUT-OF-STATE PRACTICE OR RESIDENCE
In the event respondent should leave California to
reside or to practice outside the state, respondent
must notify the Board in writing of the dates of
departure and return. Periods of residency or
practice outside California will not apply to the
reduction of this probationary period.

# P. COMPLETION OF PROBATION

Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's certificate will be fully restored.

#### Q. VIOLATION OF PROBATION

If respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation or Petition to Revoke Probation is filed against respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final and no Petition for Modification of Penalty shall be considered while there is an Accusation or Petition to Revoke Probation pending against respondent.

R. COMPLIANCE WITH CONTINUING MEDICAL EDUCATION 1 2 Respondent shall submit satisfactory proof 3 biennially to the Board of compliance with the 4 requirement to complete fifty hours of approved continuing medical education for re-licensure 5 during each two (2) year renewal period. 6 7 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT 8 I have read the foregoing Stipulation and Waiver and 9 have taken the opportunity to consult with counsel of my own 10 choosing, to wit, Theodore A. Cohen, Esq. I understand that by signing this Stipulation and Waiver, I am admitting culpability, 11 12 and agreeing to the aforementioned discipline. I enter into this 13 Stipulation and Waiver freely, intelligently, knowingly and voluntarily. 14 15 DATED: 1993 DAVID PATRICK DEVIAN. Respondent 16 17 THEODORE A. COHEN, ESQ. 18 Attorney for Respondent 19 ENDORSEMENT 20 The foregoing Stipulation and Waiver is hereby 21 respectfully submitted for the consideration of the Board of 22 Podiatric Medicine. 23 24 Geheral DATED: April 30, 1993 25 R. ROMAN 26 Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant

Board of Podiatric Medicine

Complainant

# DECISION AND ORDER OF THE BOARD OF PODIATRIC MEDICINE

The foregoing Stipulation and Order, in Case Number D-4921, is hereby adopted as the Order of the California Board of Podiatric Medicine. An effective date of September 22, 1993, has been assigned to this Decision and Order.

Made this 23rd day of August , 1993.

BOARD OF PODIATRIC MEDICINE

By STEVEN J. DE VALENTINE, D.P.M.

President

1 2 3 4 5	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California Jaime R. Román, Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice 300 S. Spring St., 10th Floor-North Los Angeles CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2581 Attorneys for Complainant			
7				
	BEFORE THE			
8	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA BOARD OF PODIATRIC MEDICINE			
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS			
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation ) Case No. D-4921			
12	Against:			
	DAVID PATRICK DEVLIN, D.P.M. ) ACCUSATION			
13	711 N. Alvarado, Suite 107 ) Los Angeles CA 90057 )			
14	)			
15	Podiatric Physician ) Certificate No. E358, )			
16	Respondent. )			
17				
18	Complainant alleges that:			
	<u>PARTIES</u>			
19	1. He is James Rathlesberger, Executive Officer of the			
20	Board of Podiatric Medicine of the State of California			
21				
22	(hereinafter, the "Board") and makes and files this Accusation			
23	solely in his official capacity.			
24	2. On or about July 11, 1989, the Board issued License			
25	No. E358 to David Patrick Devlin, D.P.M. (hereinafter, the			
Ì	"respondent") to practice podiatric medicine in this state. Said			
26	license is currently in full force and effect.			
27				
į.				

#### JURISDICTION

- 3. Pursuant to Business & Professions Code sections 2222 and  $2497(a)^{1/2}$ , the Board may suspend, revoke, or impose probation on any certificate to practice podiatric medicine on a licensee found guilty under the Medical Practice Act.
- 4. Section 2234 of the Code provides that the Board shall take action against any licensee charged with unprofessional conduct. Unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the followng:
  - "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate, any provision of this chapter.
  - (b) Gross negligence.
  - (c) Repeated negligent acts.
  - (d) Incompetence.
  - (e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
- 5. Section 2472 of the Code provides that the certificate to practice podiatric medicine authorizes the holder to practice podiatric medicine and that "podiatric medicine" means the diagnosis, medical, surgical, mechanical, manipulative, and electrical treatment of the human foot, including the ankle

2.

<sup>1.</sup> Except as otherwise provided, all statutory references are to the Business and Professions Code.

and tendons that insert into the foot and the nonsurgical treatment of the muscles and tendons of the leg governing the functions of the foot.

- 6. Section 2472 of the Code further provides that no podiatrist shall administer an anesthetic other than local.
- 7. Section 2052 of the Code provides that any person who practices or attempts to practice, any system or mode of treating the sick or afflicted in this state, or who diagnoses, treats, operates for, or prescribes for any ailment, blemish, deformity, disease, disfigurement, disorder, injury, or other physical or mental condition of any person, without having at the time of so doing a valid, unrevoked, or unsuspended certificate as provided by the Medical Practice Act (sections 2000 et.seq.), or without being authorized to perform such act pursuant to a certificate obtained in accordance with some other provision of law, is guilty of a misdemeanor.
- 8. Section 2238 of the Code provides that violation of any federal or state statute or regulation regulating dangerous drugs or controlled substances constitutes unprofessional conduct.
- 9. Section 2239(a) of the Code provides that the use or prescribing for or administering to himself or herself, of any controlled substance; or the use of any of dangerous drugs, to the extent, or in such manner as to be dangerous or injurious to the licensee, or to any other person or to the public, constitutes unprofessional conduct.
  - 10. Section 2242(a) of the Code provides that it is

unprofessional conduct to prescribe, dispense or furnish a dangerous drug without a good faith prior examination and medical indication therefor.

11. Section 2261 of the Code provides that knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document directly or indirectly related to the practice of medicine or podiatry which falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts, constitutes unprofessional conduct.

б

- 12. Health and Safety Code section 11152 provides that no person shall write, issue, fill, compound or dispense a prescription that does not conform to the Controlled Substances Act (Health and Safety Code sections 11000 et.seq.).
  - 13. Health and Safety Code section 11153 provides, in pertinent part, that a prescription for a controlled substance shall only be issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his or her professional practice.
  - 14. Health and Safety Code section 11173(b) provides that no person shall make a false statement in any prescription, order, report, or record required by Health and Safety Code section 11000 et.seq..
- 15. Health and Safety Code section 11157 provides that no person shall issue a prescription that is false or fictitious in any respect.
- 16. Health and Safety Code section 11170 provides that no person shall prescribe, administer, or furnish a controlled substances for himself.

# FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under the authority of sections 2234 and 2238 of the Code in that he engaged in unprofessional conduct by violating sections 11152 and 11153 of the Health & Safety Code regulating dangerous drugs or controlled substances by issuing a prescription for a controlled substance for other than a legitimate medical purpose. The facts and circumstances are as follows:

A. Between about January 1990 and about July 1991, respondent was employed by and associated with Dr. William Pagliano, D.P.M., at Dr. Pagliano's Los Angeles and Newhall offices.

B. On or about the following dates respondent issued the following prescriptions:

15	<u>Date</u>	Name	Drug (and Strength/Amount)
16	(the following were 4-27-90*	filled at Horte	on & Converse Pharmacy)
17	4-27-90*	for office use	20 hydrocodone
7/			w/acetaminophen
	7-26-90	for office use	
18	8-6-90	for office use	
	8-29-90	for office use	10 Valium 10mg.
19	9-6-90	for office use	12 hydrocodone w/acetaminophen
	9-27-90	for office use	20 hydrocodone w/acetaminophen
20	10-12-90	for office use	20 hydrocodone w/acetaminophen
	10-22-90	respondent	15 hydrocodone w/acetaminophen
21	10-26-90	for office use	10 diazepam 10mg.
	ıı .	tt.	25 hydrocodone w/acetaminophen
22	l u	<i>u</i>	25 Vicodin
	10-30-90	C.D.	20 hydrocodone
23	11-26-90	for office use	25 Vicodin
l	u		10 Valium 10mg.
24	12-6-90	for office use	25 Symalgos-do
	1-2-91	C.D.	30 hydrocodone
25	l		20 hydrocodone
		C.D.	50 Valium 10mg.
26		<b></b>	20 Agtram Toud.
	(the following were	filled at Wodie	In 7 Company The same area.
27	no date*	TTTTEG OF WEST	20 Windin
~	" *		30 Vicodin
- 1	••	respondent	15 Valium 10mg

```
20 Vicodin
                        respondent
 1
                                        10 Valium 10mg
                        respondent
                                        30 Vicodin
 2
                        respondent
                                        20 Valium 10mg
                        respondent
                                        20 Lortab 5mg.
                        respondent
 3
                                        20 Lortab 2.5mg
                        respondent
                                        30 Lorcet Plus
                        respondent
 4
                                        40 Lorcet Plus
    5-29-91*
                        respondent
 5
    (the following were filled at Valencia Pharmacy)
                        respondent
                                        10 Vicodin
 6
    10-30-90
                        respondent
                                        5 Valium 10mg
    1-29-91*
 7
                                        10 Vicodin
                        respondent
    2-12-91
                        respondent
                                        10 Soma cpd. w/codeine
                        respondent
                                        20 Lorcet Plus
 8
    6-25-91*
    All prescriptions marked "*" were issued in the name of Dr.
    Pagliano
10
11
              C. At all times pertinent herein, each of the drugs
12
              set out hereinabove was a Schedule III narcotic
13
              controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code
14
              section 11056 and 21 C.F.R. section 1308.13, except
15
              Valium and diazepam, which were Schedule IV non-
16
              narcotic controlled substances pursuant to Health and
17
              Safety Code section 11057 and 21 C.F.R. section
18
              1308.14.
19
                  Each of the above prescriptions, including all
20
              those purportedly authorized by Dr. Pagliano, were
21
              issued by respondent, and each such prescription,
22
              including those issued in the name of C.D. and those
23
              issued "for office use" was intended for respondent's
24
              own use.
25
                  As to each of the above prescriptions, respondent
```

prescribed the controlled substance for himself.

26

# SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

- 18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under the authority of sections 2234, 2472 and 2052 of the Code in that he engaged in unprofessional conduct by engaging in the practice of medicine beyond his podiatric medicine license. The facts and circumstances are as follows:
  - A. Complainant incorporates by reference subparagraphs 17A, 17B, 17C, 17D and 17E as though fully set forth herein.
  - B. As to each of the above prescriptions, respondent prescribed a controlled substance outside the scope of his license, in that none of the above prescriptions was for a condition involving or related to the practice of podiatric medicine.
  - C. On or about April 16, 1990, respondent issued a prescription for S.O. for 24 Vicodin for pain.
  - D. Respondent prescribed the above for S.O. outside the scope of his license to practice podiatric medicine in that said prescription was for pain related to a gynecological condition and not for a condition related to the practice of podiatric medicine.

# THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

19. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under the authority of sections 2234(e) and 2238 of the Code in that he engaged in unprofessional conduct by the commission of an act involving dishonesty or corruption substantially related to his qualifications, functions, or duties as a podiatrist. The facts

- A. Complainant incorporates by reference paragraphs 17 and 18 as though fully set forth herein.
- B. Each of the above prescriptions purportedly authorized by Dr. Pagliano was false and fraudulent in that respondent, without Dr. Pagliano's authorization or knowledge, issued the order.
- C. The prescription for S.O. was false and fraudulent in that respondent intended to take 12 Vicodin for his own use and did so, repaying S.O. for half the cost of the prescription.

# FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

- 20. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under the authority of sections 2234 and 2238 of the Code in that he engaged in unprofessional conduct by violating sections 11152 and 11170 of the Health & Safety Code regulating dangerous drugs or controlled substances in that he prescribed, administered, or furnished a controlled substance for himself.
  - A. Complainant incorporates by reference subparagraphs 17A, 17B, 17C, 17D and 17E as though fully set forth herein.

# FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

21. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under the authority of sections 2234(e) and 2261 of the Code in that he engaged in unprofessional conduct by knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document directly or indirectly related to the practice of podiatry which falsely represents the

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

9:

the authority of sections 2234 and 2242(a) of the Code in that he

24. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under

7
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

engaged in unprofessional conduct by prescribing, dispensing or furnishing a dangerous drug without a good faith prior examination and medical indication therefor. The facts and circumstances are as follows:

A. Complainant incorporates by reference subparagraphs 19A, 19B and 19C as though fully set forth herein.

# NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

- 25. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under the authority of sections 2234 and 2238 of the Code in that he engaged in unprofessional conduct by violating sections 11152 and 11173(b) of the Health & Safety Code regulating dangerous drugs or controlled substances by making a false statement in any prescription. The facts and circumstances are as follows:
  - A. Complainant incorporates by reference subparagraphs 19A, 19B and 19C as though fully set forth herein.

#### PRAYER

WHEREFORE, complainant prays that a hearing be held on the matters alleged hereinabove and, following said hearing, the Board issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Certificate No. E358, heretofore issued to respondent;
- 2. Ordering respondent to pay the board the actual and reasonable costs of the investigation and prosecution of this case; and
- 3. Taking such other and further action as the board

may deem proper.

DATED: September 3, 1992

JAMES RATHLESBERGER EXECUTIVE OFFICER CALIFORNIA BOARD OF PODIATRIC MEDICINE

Complainant

1	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General				
2	I a second secon				
3	California Department of Justice 300 S. Spring St., 10th Floor-North				
4	Los Angeles CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2581				
5	Attorneys for Complainant				
6					
7	,				
8	BEFORE THE  MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA  BOARD OF PODIATRIC MEDICINE  DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS				
9					
, 10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
11	In the Matter of the Accusation ) OAH No.				
12	Against: ) CASE No. D-4921				
13	DAVID PATRICK DEVLIN, D.P.M. ) 711 N. Alvarado, Suite 107 ) A M E N D E D &				
14	Los Angeles, California 90026 ) SUPPLEMENTAL ) ACCUSATION				
15	Podiatric Physician ; Certificate No. E-358,				
16	Respondent.				
17	The Complainant alleges that:				
18	PARTIES				
19					
20	The companion to build hadridbotydty bredgetve				
21	Officer of the Board of Podiatric Medicine of the State of				
22	California (hereinafter referred to as "the Board"), and brings				
23	this Amended and Supplemental Accusation solely in his official capacity.				
24	, ,				
25	27. On or about July 11, 1989, the Board issued				
26	License No. E-358 to David Patrick Devlin, D.P.M. (hereinafter				
27	referred to as "the Respondent") and at all times herein				
	mentioned, said license has been in full force and effect. On or				

about September 3, 1992, an Accusation was filed in Case No. D-4921 (this Accusation is incorporated by reference as though fully set herein). No hearing on said Accusation has taken place.

## **JURISDICTION**

- 28. This Amended & Supplemental Accusation is brought under the authority of the following sections of the California Business & Professions Code (hereinafter referred to as "the Code"):
- 29. Sections 2222 and 2497(a) of the Code provide the Board may take action against all persons guilty of violating the Medical Practice Act.
- 30. Section 2227 of the Code provides that the Board may revoke, suspend for a period not to exceed one year, or place on probation, the license of any licensee who has been found guilty under the Medical Practice Act.
- 31. Section 2230 of the Code provides that all proceedings against a licensee for unprofessional conduct or cause shall be conducted in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act (Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code).
- 32. Section 11507 of the Government Code (the Administrative Procedure Act) provides that at any time before the matter is submitted for decision the agency may file or permit the filing of an amended or supplemental accusation.
- 33. Sections 490 and 2236(a) of the Code provide that the Board shall take action against any licensee for conviction

of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of that license.

34. Section 2237(a) provides that the conviction of a charge of violating any federal or state statute or regulation regulating dangerous drugs or controlled substances constitutes unprofessional conduct.

# TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

- 35. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Sections 490, 2236(a) and 2237(a) of the Code in that he engaged in unprofessional conduct by suffering a criminal conviction for conduct violating a state statute regulating dangerous drugs or controlled substances. The facts and circumstances are as follows:
  - A. Complainant incorporates by reference paragraph 19 as though fully set forth herein.
  - B. On or about April 29, 1992, in Case No. BA050821, People v. David P. Devlin, Los Angeles Superior Court, respondent was convicted, following a plea of nolo contendere on April 1, 1992, in Los Angeles Municipal Court, of two counts of violating Business and Professions Code section 4390, a felony, to wit, uttering a forged prescription. Respondent was sentenced to three years probation on condition, inter alia, of 2 days in jail, restitution fine of \$2,000, and undertake a plan of drug abuse.

#### PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays a hearing be held on the

matters alleged herein, and, following said hearing, the Board issue a decision: 1. Revoking or suspending Podiatric Physician Certifi-cate No. E-358, heretofore issued to David P. Devlin, D.P.M.; Ordering Respondent to pay the Board the actual and reasonable costs of the investigation and prosecution of this matter; and Taking such other and further action as the Board may deem proper. DATED: October 29, 1992. Board of Podiatric Medicine State of California Complainant : '3